

SCENIC RIM REGIONAL COUNCIL

Ordinary Meeting of Council

Minutes

Meeting held in the Council Chambers 82 Brisbane Street Beaudesert

Tuesday, 22 September 2015 Commenced at 10.30 am

COUNCIL MINUTES 22 SEPTEMBER 2015

SCENIC RIM REGIONAL COUNCIL

ORDINARY MEETING

22 SEPTEMBER 2015

MINUTES CONTENTS

ITEN	И	SUBJECT	PAGE NO
			_
OPE	NING	OF MEETING	1
ATT	ENDA	NCE	1
APC	LOGI	ES	1
1.	PRA	YERS	1
2.	DEC	LARATIONS OF INTEREST BY MEMBERS	1
3.	REC	EPTION OF DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT	1
4.	CON	FIRMATION OF MINUTES	2
5 .	BUS	INESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES	2
6.	REC	EPTION AND CONSIDERATION OF COMMITTEE REPORTS	2
7 .	CON	SIDERATION OF BUSINESS OF MEETING	3
	7.1	2015 Local Government Association of Queensland Conference Motion	3
	7.2	2015-16 Vibrant & Active Towns & Villages Program [Closed s.275(1)(c)]	5
8	MISC	CELL ANEOLIS BUSINESS	6

COUNCIL MINUTES 22 SEPTEMBER 2015

ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL

MINUTES

Please note:

Minutes Items where Subject Headings are followed by [CLOSED] are to be discussed in closed session in accordance with Section 275(1) of the Local Government Regulation 2012.

Section 275(1)

A local government or committee may resolve that a meeting be closed to the public if its councillors or members consider it necessary to close the meeting to discuss-

- (a) the appointment, dismissal or discipline of employees; or
- (b) industrial matters, affecting employees; or
- (c) the local government's budget; or
- (d) rating concessions; or
- (e) contracts proposed to be made by it; or
- (f) starting or defending legal proceedings involving it; or
- (g) any action to be taken by the local government under the Planning Act, including deciding applications made to it under that Act; or
- (h) other business for which public discussion would be likely to prejudice the interests of local government or someone else, or enable a person to gain financial advantage.

SCENIC RIM REGIONAL COUNCIL ORDINARY MEETING 22 SEPTEMBER 2015 MINUTES

OPENING OF MEETING

The Mayor, Cr Brent, as Chairman of the Meeting, declared the Meeting open and welcomed all in attendance.

ATTENDANCE

Cr J C Brent, Mayor Cr N J Waistell

Cr N O'Carroll

Cr V A West, Deputy Mayor

Cr J J Sanders

Cr R J Stanfield

Cr D A McInnes

Mr C R Barke, Chief Executive Officer

Mr A M Magner, Director Regional Services

Mr P G Murphy, Director Infrastructure Services

Ms K Stidworthy, Chief Finance Officer

APOLOGIES

Nil

1. PRAYERS

Fr John Echewodo from St Mary's Catholic Church offered prayers.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST BY MEMBERS

Nil

3. RECEPTION OF DEPUTATIONS BY APPOINTMENT

Nil

COUNCIL MINUTES

4. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting held on Tuesday, 25 August 2015

Moved Cr West, seconded Cr McInnes, that the Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting held on 25 August 2015, as previously circulated to Councillors, be taken as read and confirmed.

CARRIED

5. BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES

Nil

6. RECEPTION AND CONSIDERATION OF COMMITTEE REPORTS

Finance Committee Meeting held on Tuesday, 15 September 2015

The Report of the Finance Committee meeting dated 15 September 2015, was presented, this being recorded on Minute Sheet Nos

ADOPTION REPORT

Moved Cr O'Carroll, seconded Cr Stanfield, that the Report of the Finance Committee Meeting dated 15 September 2015, be adopted.

CARRIED

 Corporate & Community Services Committee Meeting held on Tuesday, 15 September 2015

The Report of the Corporate and Community Services Committee meeting dated 15 September 2015, was presented, this being recorded on Minute Sheet Nos

ADOPTION REPORT

Moved Cr Waistell, seconded Cr Stanfield, that the Report of the Corporate & Community Services Committee Meeting dated 15 September 2015, be adopted.

CARRIED

 Planning & Development Committee Meeting held on Tuesday, 15 September 2015

The Report of the Planning & Development Committee meeting dated 15 September 2015, was presented, this being recorded on Minute Sheet Nos

ADOPTION REPORT

Moved Cr Sanders, seconded Cr West, that the Report of the Planning and Development Committee Meeting dated 15 September 2015, be adopted.

CARRIED

COUNCIL MINUTES 22 SEPTEMBER 2015

7. CONSIDERATION OF BUSINESS OF MEETING

7.1 2015 Local Government Association of Queensland Conference Motion

Executive Officer: Chief Executive Officer

File Reference: 12/06/004

Chief Finance Officer's Recommendation

Council approve the motion entitled "removal or amendment of legislative provisions for discounting of subdivided land not yet developed" for submission to the 2015 Local Government Association of Queensland Conference.

Moved Cr Sanders, seconded Cr O'Carroll, that the Chief Finance Officer's recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

Attachments

1. LGAQ Annual Conference Motion 2015 - Removal or amendment of legislative provisions for discounting of subdivided land not yet developed.

Attachment 1 - LGAQ Annual Conference Motion 2015 - Removal or amendment of legislative provisions for discounting of subdivided land not yet developed

LGAQ ANNUAL CONFERENCE MOTION - 2015

Date of council / organisation resolution 22 September 2015 Number and title of motion Removal or amendment of legislative provisions for discounting of subdivided land not yet developed That the Local Government Association of Queensland request that the Queensland Government remove or amend Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010. Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010 requires local governments to discount the value of subdivided land not yet developed by 40%. Local governments are also not able to charge a minimum general rate on such parcels of land. The financial effect of providing a 40% subsidy and not being able to charge a minimum general rate on such parcels of land. The financial effect of providing a 40% subsidy and not being able to charge a minimum general rate on such parcels of land. The financial effect of providing a 40% subsidy and not being able to charge a minimum rate on subdivided land not yet developed is substantial on the local government sector particularly in areas of growth and development where general rates play an important role in funding population growth-related infrastructure neads and service costs. This is particularly relevant since the Queensland Government moved to cap infrastructure reharges and both Federal and State Governments tying funding to local governments adopting fair value infrastructure charges, which resulted in further reductions. There would appear to be a strong argument that sufficient concessions to developers are being granted by other mechanisms. In the Soenic Rim region Council estimates there to be over 100 individual properties at any one time that would receive the discount. An estimate of the effect on ongoing general rate revenue is approximately \$105,000 per annum. Over a ten year timeframe this represents around \$1.2 million with rate increases factored in. It is also suggested that local governments have enough power under current legislative provisions in order to be able to struct	Submitting council / organisation Scenic Rim Regional Council	
Motion That the Local Government Association of Queensland request that the Queensland Government remove or amend Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010 requires local governments to discount the value of subdivided land not yet developed by 40%. Local governments are also not able to charge a minimum general rate on such parcels of land. The financial effect of providing a 40% subsidy and not being able to charge a minimum rate on subdivided land not yet developed is substantial on the local government sector particularly in areas of growth and development where general rates play an important role in funding population growth-related infrastructure needs and service costs. This is particularly relevant since the Queensland Governments wind funding population growth-related infrastructure needs and service costs. This is particularly relevant since the Queensland Governments tying funding to local governments adopting fair value infrastructure charges, which resulted in further reductions. There would appear to be a strong argument that sufficient concessions to developers are being granted by other mechanisms. In the Scenic Rim region Council estimates there to be over 100 individual properties at any one time that would receive the discount. An estimate of the effect on ongoing general rate revenue is approximately \$105,000 per annum. Over a ten year timeframe this represents around \$1.2 million with rate increases factored in. It is also suggested that local governments have enough power under current legislative provisions in order to be able to structure incentives via rating or other mechanisms, such as infrastructure charges discounts, to be able to offer incentives that will result in increased development. The view of Council is that the provisions under Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010 are not necessary when individual councils have the power to create development incentives in a way that best suits their individual requirements. What is t	resolution	LGAQ Policy Executive district
Queensland Government remove or amend Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010. Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010 requires local governments to discount the value of subdivided land not yet developed by 40%. Local governments are also not able to charge a minimum general rate on such parcels of land. The financial effect of providing a 40% subsidy and not being able to charge a minimum rate on subdivided land not yet developed is substantial on the local government sector particularly in areas of growth and development where general rates play an important role in funding population growth-related infrastructure needs and service costs. This is particularly relevant since the Queensland Government moved to cap infrastructure charges and both Federal and State Governments tying funding to local governments adopting fair value infrastructure charges, which resulted in further reductions. There would appear to be a strong argument that sufficient concessions to developers are being granted by other mechanisms. In the Scenic Rim region Council estimates there to be over 100 individual properties at any one time that would receive the discount. An estimate of the effect on ongoing general rate revenue is approximately \$105,000 per annum. Over a ten year timeframe this represents around \$1.2 million with rate increases factored in. It is also suggested that local governments have enough power under current legislative provisions in order to be able to structure incentives via rating or other mechanisms, such as infrastructure charges discounts, to be able to offer incentives that will result in increased development. The view of Council is that the provisions under Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010 are not necessary when individual councils have the power to create development incentives in a way that best suits their individual requirements. What are the impacts (positive or referred position but otherwise amending the legislati	Number and title of motion	
local governments to discount the value of subdivided land not yet developed by 40%. Local governments are also not able to charge a minimum general rate on such parcels of land. The financial effect of providing a 40% subsidy and not being able to charge a minimum rate on subdivided land not yet developed is substantial on the local government sector particularly in areas of growth and development where general rates play an important role in funding population growth-related infrastructure needs and service costs. This is particularly relevant since the Queensland Government moved to cap infrastructure charges and both Federal and State Governments tying funding to local governments adopting fair value infrastructure charges, which resulted in further reductions. There would appear to be a strong argument that sufficient concessions to developers are being granted by other mechanisms. In the Scenic Rim region Council estimates there to be over 100 individual properties at any one time that would receive the discount. An estimate of the effect on ongoing general rate revenue is approximately \$105,000 per annum. Over a ten year timeframe this represents around \$1.2 million with rate increases factored in. It is also suggested that local governments have enough power under current legislative provisions in order to be able to structure incentives via rating or other mechanisms, such as infrastructure charges discounts, to be able to offer incentives that will result in increased development. The view of Council is that the provisions under Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010 are not necessary when individual councils have the power to create development incentives in a way that best suits their individual requirements. What is the desired outcome sought? What are the impacts (positive or negative) on local government?	Motion	Queensland Government remove or amend Chapter 2, Park 2,
charge a minimum rate on subdivided land not yet developed is substantial on the local government sector particularly in areas of growth and development where general rates play an important role in funding population growth-related infrastructure needs and service costs. This is particularly relevant since the Queensland Government moved to cap infrastructure charges and both Federal and State Governments tying funding to local governments adopting fair value infrastructure charges, which resulted in further reductions. There would appear to be a strong argument that sufficient concessions to developers are being granted by other mechanisms. In the Scenic Rim region Council estimates there to be over 100 individual properties at any one time that would receive the discount. An estimate of the effect on ongoing general rate revenue is approximately \$105,000 per annum. Over a ten year timeframe this represents around \$1.2 million with rate increases factored in. It is also suggested that local governments have enough power under current legislative provisions in order to be able to structure incentives via rating or other mechanisms, such as infrastructure charges discounts, to be able to offer incentives that will result in increased development. The view of Council is that the provisions under Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010 are not necessary when individual councils have the power to create development incentives in a way that best suits their individual requirements. What is the desired outcome sought? What are the impacts (positive or negative) on local government? Council is seeking removal or amendment of Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010. Removal is Council's preferred position but otherwise amending the legislation so that councils can charge a minimum general rate would also be viewed positively. The impacts on local government are likely to be positive as ongoing revenue from general rates would increase as a result.	Background	local governments to discount the value of subdivided land not yet developed by 40%. Local governments are also not able to charge a
developers are being granted by other mechanisms. In the Scenic Rim region Council estimates there to be over 100 individual properties at any one time that would receive the discount. An estimate of the effect on ongoing general rate revenue is approximately \$105,000 per annum. Over a ten year timeframe this represents around \$1.2 million with rate increases factored in. It is also suggested that local governments have enough power under current legislative provisions in order to be able to structure incentives via rating or other mechanisms, such as infrastructure charges discounts, to be able to offer incentives that will result in increased development. The view of Council is that the provisions under Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010 are not necessary when individual councils have the power to create development incentives in a way that best suits their individual requirements. What is the desired outcome sought? What are the impacts (positive or negative) on local government? Council is seeking removal or amendment of Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010. Removal is Council's preferred position but otherwise amending the legislation so that councils can charge a minimum general rate would also be viewed positively. The impacts on local government are likely to be positive as ongoing revenue from general rates would increase as a result.		charge a minimum rate on subdivided land not yet developed is substantial on the local government sector particularly in areas of growth and development where general rates play an important role in funding population growth-related infrastructure needs and service costs. This is particularly relevant since the Queensland Government moved to cap infrastructure charges and both Federal and State Governments tying funding to local governments adopting fair value infrastructure charges,
properties at any one time that would receive the discount. An estimate of the effect on ongoing general rate revenue is approximately \$105,000 per annum. Over a ten year timeframe this represents around \$1.2 million with rate increases factored in. It is also suggested that local governments have enough power under current legislative provisions in order to be able to structure incentives via rating or other mechanisms, such as infrastructure charges discounts, to be able to offer incentives that will result in increased development. The view of Council is that the provisions under Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010 are not necessary when individual councils have the power to create development incentives in a way that best suits their individual requirements. What is the desired outcome sought? What are the impacts (positive or negative) on local government? Council is seeking removal or amendment of Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010. Removal is Council's preferred position but otherwise amending the legislation so that councils can charge a minimum general rate would also be viewed positively. The impacts on local government are likely to be positive as ongoing revenue from general rates would increase as a result.		
current legislative provisions in order to be able to structure incentives via rating or other mechanisms, such as infrastructure charges discounts, to be able to offer incentives that will result in increased development. The view of Council is that the provisions under Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010 are not necessary when individual councils have the power to create development incentives in a way that best suits their individual requirements. What is the desired outcome sought? What are the impacts (positive or negative) on local government? Council is seeking removal or amendment of Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010. Removal is Council's preferred position but otherwise amending the legislation so that councils can charge a minimum general rate would also be viewed positively. The impacts on local government are likely to be positive as ongoing revenue from general rates would increase as a result.		properties at any one time that would receive the discount. An estimate of the effect on ongoing general rate revenue is approximately \$105,000 per annum. Over a ten year timeframe this represents around \$1.2 million with
What are the impacts (positive or negative) on local government? Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010. Removal is Council's preferred position but otherwise amending the legislation so that councils can charge a minimum general rate would also be viewed positively. The impacts on local government are likely to be positive as ongoing revenue from general rates would increase as a result.		current legislative provisions in order to be able to structure incentives via rating or other mechanisms, such as infrastructure charges discounts, to be able to offer incentives that will result in increased development. The view of Council is that the provisions under Chapter 2, Park 2, Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010 are not necessary when individual councils have the power to create development incentives in a way that
revenue from general rates would increase as a result.	What are the impacts (positive or	Subdivision 3 of the Land Valuation Act 2010. Removal is Council's preferred position but otherwise amending the legislation so that councils
LGAQ comment		
	LGAQ comment	

COUNCIL MINUTES

7.2 2015-16 Vibrant & Active Towns & Villages Program [Closed s.275(1)(c)]

Executive Officer: Chief Executive Officer

File Reference: 12/06/004

Council resolved into closed session in accordance with the provisions of Section 275(1) of the Local Government Regulation 2012 and Council's Meetings and Other Forums Policy relating to closed session meetings to discuss this item.

Moved Cr West Seconded Cr McInnes

CARRIED

Following discussion in closed session of this item, Council resumed in open session for the proposal of Council resolutions.

Moved Cr Stanfield Seconded Cr West

CARRIED

Chief Finance Officer's Recommendation

That Council approve the budget adjustments for the Vibrant & Active Towns & Villages program as contained in the following table:

Ledger Ref	Item Description	Budget
201.729297	Strategic Projects Consultancy	(\$100,000)
201.9000733	Vibrant & Active Towns & Villages Projects	\$100,000
TBA	McKee Street to Brisbane Street, Beaudesert; replace existing and new footpath	\$180,000
TBA	High Street; Park street to Railway St, Boonah; replace existing footpath	\$250,000
TBA	Minor Footpath upgrades; Various other minor improvement on linkages and upgrades to kerb ramps	\$70,000
TBA	Billabong Park, Kooralbyn	\$175,000
TBA	Gallery Walk, Tamborine Mountain	\$100,000
TBA	Beechmont Memorial Upgrade	\$35,000
TBA	Vonda Youngman Community Centre, Tamborine Mountain	\$90,000
	TOTAL	\$900,000

Moved Cr Stanfield, seconded Cr West, that the Chief Finance Officer's recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

Attachments

Nil.

8. MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS

Nil

The Ordinary Meeting then closed at 10.50 am. To be confirmed on 27 October 2015.

Cr John Brent MAYOR